U.S. FISH AND WILDLIFE SERVICE
SPECIES ASSESSMENT AND LISTING PRIORITY ASSIGNMENT FORM

SCIENTIFIC NAME: *Platydesma cornuta* var. *decurrens*

COMMON NAME: No common name

LEAD REGION: Region 1

INFORMATION CURRENT AS OF: July 2005

STATUS/ACTION

___ Species assessment - determined species did not meet the definition of endangered or threatened under the Act and, therefore, was not elevated to Candidate status

___ New candidate

__X_ Continuing candidate

___ Non-petitioned

_X__ Petitioned - Date petition received: May 11, 2004

__ 90-day positive - FR date:

_X_ 12-month warranted but precluded - FR date: May 11, 2005

_N_ Did the petition request a reclassification of a listed species?

FOR PETITIONED CANDIDATE SPECIES:

a. Is listing warranted (if yes, see summary of threats below)? yes

b. To date, has publication of a proposal to list been precluded by other higher priority listing actions? yes

c. If the answer to a. and b. is "yes", provide an explanation of why the action is precluded. We find that the immediate issuance of a proposed rule and timely promulgation of a final rule for this species has been, for the preceding 12 months, and continues to be, precluded by higher priority listing actions. During the past 12 months, most of our national listing budget has been consumed by work on various listing actions to comply with court orders and court-approved settlement agreements, meeting statutory deadlines for petition findings or listing determinations, emergency listing evaluations and determinations and essential litigation-related, administrative, and program management tasks. We will continue to monitor the status of this species as new information becomes available. This review will determine if a change in status is warranted, including the need to make prompt use of emergency listing procedures. For information on listing actions taken over the past 12 months, see the discussion of "Progress on Revising the Lists," in the current CNOR which can be viewed on our Internet website (http://endangered.fws.gov).

___ Listing priority change

Former LP: ___

New LP: ___

Date when the species first became a Candidate (as currently defined): 1999

___ Candidate removal: Former LP: __

___ A – Taxon is more abundant or widespread than previously believed or not subject to the degree of threats sufficient to warrant issuance of a proposed listing or continuance of candidate status.
___U – Taxon not subject to the degree of threats sufficient to warrant issuance of a proposed listing or continuance of candidate status due, in part or totally, to conservation efforts that remove or reduce the threats to the species.
___ F – Range is no longer a U.S. territory.
___ I – Insufficient information exists on biological vulnerability and threats to support listing.
___ M – Taxon mistakenly included in past notice of review.
___ N – Taxon does not meet the Act's definition of "species."
___ X – Taxon believed to be extinct.

ANIMAL/PLANT GROUP AND FAMILY: Flowering plants, Rutaceae (Rue family)

HISTORICAL STATES/TERRITORIES/COUNTRIES OF OCCURRENCE: Hawaii, island of Oahu

CURRENT STATES/ COUNTIES/TERRITORIES/COUNTRIES OF OCCURRENCE: Hawaii, island of Oahu

LAND OWNERSHIP: Populations of *Platydesma cornuta* var. *decurrens* are found mostly on State land with some occurring on private lands

LEAD REGION CONTACT: Paul Phifer, 503-872-2823, paul_phifer@fws.gov

LEAD FIELD OFFICE CONTACT: Pacific Islands Fish and Wildlife Office, Christa Russell, 808-792-9400, christa_russell@fws.gov

BIOLOGICAL INFORMATION:

Species Description *Platydesma cornuta* var. *decurrens* is an erect palmoid shrub 1 to 2 meters (3.3 to 6.6 feet) tall with the main stem up to 2 centimeters (0.8 inches) in diameter. Branches are few, and those that occur are ascending and leafy toward the apex. New leaves and young inflorescences are pubescent. Leaves are narrowly obovate and have a deeply arched vein. Nine to fifteen flowers per inflorescence arise on lower stems below the leaves. Fruit are cross-shaped and papery in texture with eight seeds per carpel. This variety is distinguished from *Platydesma cornuta* var. *cornuta* by its long-attenuate leaf bases (Stone *et al*. 1999).

Taxonomy *Platydesma cornuta* was originally described by Hillebrand. and var. *decurrens* was described and published by Stone. This variety was upheld as a distinct taxon in Stone *et al*. (1999) and Wagner and Herbst (2003), the most recently accepted Hawaiian plant taxonomy.

Habitat The typical habitat for this species is mesic forest (Joel Lau, Hawaii Natural Heritage Program, pers. comm. 1996).

Historical and Current Range/Current Status This variety is known from a few populations totaling a few hundred individuals in the Waianae mountains on the island of Oahu (Joel Lau, Hawaii Natural Heritage Program, pers. comm. 1996). However, recent surveys on Army lands indicate there may be a total of over 500 individuals (Kapua Kawelo, U.S. Army, pers. comm. 2005). While we do not know of any complete surveys or long-term trends since this information was provided, it is reasonable to assume the populations have continued to decline, since not all of the threats are being managed throughout all of its range.

THREATS:

A. The present or threatened destruction, modification, or curtailment of its habitat or range. *Platydesma cornuta* var. *decurrens* is threatened by feral goats (*Capra hircus*) and pigs (*Sus scrofa*) that degrade and destroy habitat (J. Lau, pers. comm. 1996). As early as 1778, European explorers introduced livestock, which became feral, increased in number and range, and caused significant changes to the natural environment of Hawaii. Past and present activities of introduced alien mammals are the primary factor altering and degrading vegetation and habitat on Oahu. Pigs are currently present on Oahu and four other islands, and inhabit rain forests and grasslands. While rooting in the ground in search of the invertebrates and plant material they eat, feral pigs disturb and destroy vegetative cover, trample plants and seedlings, and threaten forest regeneration by damaging seeds and seedlings. They disturb soil and cause erosion, especially on slopes. Alien plant seeds are dispersed on their hooves and coats as well as through their digestive tracts, and the disturbed soil is fertilized by their feces, helping these plants to establish. Feral ungulates trample and eat native vegetation and disturb and open areas. This causes erosion and allows the entry of alien plant species (Smith 1985; Stone 1985; Medeiros *et al.* 1986; Scott *et al.* 1986; Tomich 1986; Cuddihy and Stone 1990; Wagner *et al.* 1999a). No known conservation measures have been taken to date to address this threat.

The goat, a species originally native to the Middle East and India, was successfully introduced to the Hawaiian Islands in 1792. Currently, populations exist on Kauai, Oahu, Molokai, Maui, and Hawaii. On Oahu, feral goats have been present in drier, more rugged areas since the 1820s and they still occur in the Waianae mountains. Goats browse on introduced grasses and native plants, especially in drier and more open ecosystems. Feral goats eat native vegetation, trample roots and seedlings, cause erosion, and promote the invasion of alien plants. They are able to forage in extremely rugged terrain and have a high reproductive capacity (Clarke and Cuddihy 1980; van Riper and van Riper 1982; Scott *et al*. 1986; Tomich 1986; Culliney 1988; Cuddihy and Stone 1990). This species is vulnerable to the long-term, indirect effects of goats, such as large-scale erosion. The habitats of many native plants were damaged in the past by goats, and these effects are still apparent in the form of alien vegetation and erosion (Clarke and Cuddihy 1980; van Riper and van Riper 1982; Scott *et al*. 1986; Culliney 1988). No known conservation measures have been taken to date to address this threat.

B. Overutilization for commercial, recreational, scientific, or educational purposes. None known.

C. Disease or predation.

Because Hawaii's native plants evolved without any browsing or grazing mammals present, many lost natural defenses to such impacts (Carlquist 1980, Lamoureux 1994). Browsing by ungulates has been observed on many other native species, including common and rare or endangered species (Cuddihy and Stone 1990; Loope *et al.* 1991). Therefore, even though we have no evidence of browsing for this species, it is likely that pigs and goats impact this species directly as well as their indirect impacts to the surrounding habitat. No known conservation measures have been taken to date to address this threat.

D. The inadequacy of existing regulatory mechanisms.
Goats and pigs are managed in Hawaii as game animals, but many herds populate inaccessible areas where hunting is difficult, if not impossible, and therefore has little effect on their numbers (Hawaii Heritage Program 1990). Goat and pig hunting is allowed year-round or during certain months, depending on the area (Hawaii Department of Land and Natural Resources n.d.-a, n.d.-b, n.d.-c). However, public hunting does not adequately control the number of ungulates to eliminate this threat to native plant species. No other known conservation measures have been taken to date to address this threat.

E. Other natural or manmade factors affecting its continued existence.
Although not as serious a threat as pigs and goats, alien plant species are also a threat to *Platydesma cornuta* var. *decurrens* because they compete for light, space and nutrients, and degrade habitat (J. Lau, pers. comm. 1996).

The original native flora of Hawaii consisted of about 1,400 species, nearly 90 percent of which were endemic. Of the total native and naturalized Hawaiian flora of 1,817 taxa, 47 percent were introduced from other parts of the world, and nearly 100 species have become pests (Smith 1985; Wagner *et al*. 1999a). Several studies (Cuddihy and Stone 1990; Wood and Perlman 1997; Robichaux *et al*. 1998) indicate nonnative plant species may outcompete native plants similar to *Platydesma cornuta* var. *decurrens*. Competition may be for space, light, water, or nutrients, or there may be a chemical inhibition of other plants (Smith 1985; Cuddihy and Stone 1990). In addition, nonnative pest plants found in habitat similar to that of this species have been shown to make the habitat less suitable for native species (Smathers and Gardner 1978; Smith 1985; Loope and Medeiros 1992; Medeiros *et al.* 1992; Ellshoff *et al.* 1995; Meyer and Florence 1996; Medeiros *et al.* 1997; Loope *et al*. 2004). In particular, alien pest plant species modify habitat by modifying availability of light, altering soil-water regimes, modifying nutrient cycling, or altering fire characteristics of native plant communities (Smith 1985; Cuddihy and Stone 1990; Vitousek *et al*. 1987). Because of demonstrated habitat modification and resource competition by nonnative plant species in habitat similar to habitat of *Platydesma cornuta* var. *decurrens*, the Service believes nonnative plant species are a threat to *Platydesma cornuta* var. *decurrens*. The remaining unmanaged populations of *Platydesma cornuta* var. *decurrens* are still impacted by this threat.

CONSERVATION MEASURES PLANNED OR IMPLEMENTED
None known.

SUMMARY OF THREATS:
The major threats to this species include feral pigs and goats that directly prey upon it and

degrade and destroy habitat, and nonnative plants that compete for light and nutrients, which are believed to be a major cause of the decline of this species throughout its range. No conservation efforts have been initiated to date.

LISTING PRIORITY

THREAT			
Magnitude	Immediacy	Taxonomy	Priority
High	**Imminent**	Monotypic genus	1
		Species	2
		Subspecies/population	**3***
	Non-imminent	Monotypic genus	4
		Species	5
		Subspecies/population	6
Moderate to Low	Imminent	Monotypic genus	7
		Species	8
		Subspecies/population	9
	Non-imminent	Monotypic genus	10
		Species	11
		Subspecies/population	12

Rationale for listing priority number:

Magnitude:

This species is highly threatened by feral pigs and goats that directly prey upon it and degrade and destroy habitat, and nonnative plants that compete for light and nutrients. Threats to the mesic forest habitat of *Platydesma cornuta* var. *decurrens* and to individuals of this species occur throughout its range and are expected to continue or increase without control or eradication. No known conservation measures have been taken to date to address these threats.

Imminence:

Threats to *Platydesma cornuta* var. *decurrens* from feral pigs, goats, and nonnative plants are imminent because they are ongoing.

Yes Have you promptly reviewed all of the information received regarding the species for the purpose of determining whether emergency listing is needed?

Is Emergency Listing Warranted? No. The species does not appear to be appropriate for emergency listing at this time because the immediacy of the threats is not so great as to imperil a significant proportion of the taxon within the time frame of the routine listing process. If it becomes apparent that the routine listing process is not sufficient to prevent large losses that may result in this species' extinction, then the emergency rule process for this species will be initiated. We will continue to monitor the status of *P. cornuta* var. *decurrens* as new information becomes available. This review will determine if a change in status is warranted, including the

need to make prompt use of emergency listing procedures.

DESCRIPTION OF MONITORING:
Much of the information in this form is based on the results of a meeting of 20 botanical experts held by the Center for Plant Conservation in December of 1995, and was updated by personal communication with Joel Lau of the Hawaii Natural Heritage Program in 1996. We have incorporated additional information on this species from our files and the most recent supplement to the *Manual of the Flowering Plants of Hawaii* (Wagner and Herbst 2003). In 2004, the Pacific Islands office contacted the following species experts: Bob Hobdy, retired from Hawaii Division of Forestry and Wildlife; Joel Lau, Hawaii Natural Heritage Program; Art Medeiros, U.S.G.S. Biological Resources Discipline; Hank Oppenheimer, resource manager for Maui Land and Pineapple Company; and Steve Perlman and Ken Wood, National Tropical Botanical Garden. No new information on status or threats was provided in 2004. In 2005 we contacted the species experts located below and Kapua Kawelo, U.S. Army provided survey information on a portion of the species' range.

The Hawaii Natural Heritage Program identified this species as critically imperiled (Hawaii Natural Heritage Program Database 2004). Based on the International Union for Conservation of Nature and Natural Resources Red Plant Data Book rarity categories, this species is recognized as Rare (could be at risk) by Wagner *et al*. (1999b).

A species expert has provided new information confirming the status of the species this year and the results are included in this assessment.

COORDINATION WITH STATES:
In October 2004 we provided the Hawaii Division of Forestry and Wildlife with copies of our most recent candidate assessments for their review and comment. Vickie Caraway, the State botanist, reviewed the information for this species and provided no additional information or corrections (V. Caraway, pers. comm. 2005).

LITERATURE CITED
List all experts contacted:

Name	Date	Place of Employment
1. Joel Lau	June 28, 2005	Hawaii Natural Heritage Program
2. Art Medeiros	June 28, 2005	U.S.G.S. Biological Resources Discipline
3. Jim Jacobi	June 28, 2005	U.S.G.S. Biological Resources Discipline
4. Rick Warshauer	June 28, 2005	U.S.G.S. Biological Resources Discipline
5. Hank Oppenheimer	June 28, 2005	Maui Land and Pineapple Company
6. Kapua Kawelo*	June 28, 2005	U.S. Army
7. Dave Lorence	June 28, 2005	National Tropical Botanical Garden
8. Steve Perlman	June 28, 2005	National Tropical Botanical Garden
9. Ken Wood	June 28, 2005	National Tropical Botanical Garden
10. Marie Bruegmann	July 13, 2005	U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service
11. Vickie Caraway	June 14, 2005	Hawaii Division of Forestry and Wildlife

*Provided new information on this taxon in 2005

List all databases searched:

Name	Date
1. Hawaii Natural Heritage Program	2004

Other resources utilized:

Carlquist, S. 1980. Hawaii: A natural history, 2nd edition. Pacific Tropical Botanical Garden, Honolulu. 468 pp.

Center for Biological Diversity, Dr. Jane Goodall, Dr. E.O. Wilson, Dr. Paul Ehrlich, Dr. John Terborgh, Dr. Niles Eldridge, Dr. Thomas Eisner, Dr. Robert Hass, Barbara Kingsolver, Charles Bowden, Martin Sheen, the Xerces Society, and the Biodiversity Conservation Alliance. 2004. Hawaiian Plants: petitions to list as federally endangered species. May 4, 2004.

Clarke, G., and L.W. Cuddihy. 1980. A botanical reconnaissance of the Na Pali coast trail: Kee Beach to Kalalau Valley (April 9-11, 1980). Division of Forestry and Wildlife, Department of Land and Natural Resources, Hilo, Hawaii.

Cuddihy, L.W., and C.P. Stone. 1990. Alteration of native Hawaiian vegetation; effects of humans, their activities and introductions. Coop. Natl. Park Resources Stud. Unit, Hawaii. 138 pp.

Culliney, J.L. 1988. Islands in a far sea; nature and man in Hawaii. Sierra Club Books, San Francisco. 410 pp.

Ellshoff, Z.E., D.E. Gardner, C. Wikler, and C.W. Smith. 1995. Annotated bibliography of the genus *Psidium*, with emphasis on *P. cattleianum* (strawberry guava) and *P. guajava* (common guava), forest weeds in Hawai`i. Cooperative National Park Resources Studies Unit, University of Hawaii. Technical Report 95.

Hawaii, Department of Land and Natural Resources. N.d.-a. Summary of Title 13, Chapter 123, Game mammal hunting rules, island of Oahu. Division of Forestry and Wildlife, Honolulu. 2 pp.

Hawaii, Department of Land and Natural Resources. N.d.-b. Summary of Title 13, Chapter 123, Game mammal hunting rules, island of Molokai. Division of Forestry and Wildlife, Honolulu. 2 pp.

Hawaii, Department of Land and Natural Resources. N.d.-c. Summary of Title 13, Chapter 123, Game mammal hunting rules, island of Maui. Division of Forestry and Wildlife, Honolulu. 2 pp.

Hawaii Heritage Program, The Nature Conservancy of Hawaii. 1990. Management recommendations for Na Pali Coast State Park, island of Kauai. Unpublished report prepared for Hawaii, Department of Land and Natural Resources, Division of State Parks, Honolulu. 18 pp.

Lamoureux, C.H. 1994. Conserving Hawaiian biodiversity – the role of Hawaiian botanical gardens. Pp. 55-57. In: C.-I Peng and C.H. Chou (eds.). Biodiversity and Terrestrial Ecosystems. Institute of Botany, Academia Sinica Monograph Series No. 14.

Loope, L.L., A.C. Medeiros, and B.H. Gagné. 1991. Recovery of Vegetation of a montane bog following protection from feral pig rooting. Coop. Natl. Park Resources Studies Unit, Univ. Hawaii/Manoa, Dept. Of Botany, Tech. Rept. 77.

Loope, L.L. and A.C. Medeiros. 1992. A new and invasive grass on Maui. Newsletter of the

Hawaiian Botanical Society 31: 7-8.
Loope, L., F. Starr and K. Starr. 2004. Management and research for protecting endangered Hawaiian plant species from displacement by invasive plants on Maui, Hawaii. Weed Technology 18: 1472-1474.
Medeiros, A.C., L.L. Loope, P. Conant and S. McElvaney. 1997. Status, ecology, and management of the invasive plant, *Miconia calvescens* DC (Melastomataceae) in the Hawaiian Islands. Bishop Mus. Occas. Pap.48: 23-36.
Medeiros, A.C., L.L. Loope, T. Flynn, S.J. Anderson, L.W. Cuddihy, and K.A. Wilson. 1992. Notes on the status of an invasive Australian tree fern (*Cyathea cooperi*) in Hawaiian rain forests. American Fern Journal 82: 27-33.
Medeiros, A.C., Jr., L.L. Loope, and R.A. Holt. 1986. Status of native flowering plant species on the south slope of Haleakala, East Maui, Hawaii. Coop. Natl. Park Resources Stud. Unit, Hawaii, Techn. Rept. 59:1-230.
Meyer, J.-Y. and J. Florence. 1996. Tahiti's native flora endangered by the invasion of *Miconia calvescens* D.C. (Melastomataceae). Journal of Biogeography 23: 775-781.
Robichaux, R., J. Canfield, F. R. Warshauer, L. Perry, M. Bruegmann, and G. Carr. 1998. Adaptive Radiation. Endangered Species Bulletin. November/December.

Smathers, G.A. and D.E. Gardner. 1978. Stand analysis of an invading firetree (*Myrica faya* Aiton) population, Hawai`i. Proceeding of the Second Conference on Natural Science, Hawaii Volcanoes National Park, pp. 274-288.
Smith, C.W. 1985. Impact of alien plants on Hawai`i's native biota: *In* Stone, C.P., and J.M. Scott (eds.), Hawai`i's terrestrial ecosystems: preservation and management. Coop. Natl. Park Resources Stud. Unit, Univ. Hawaii, Honolulu, pp. 180-250.
Stone, B.D., W.L. Wagner, and D.R. Herbst. Rutaceae: *In* Wagner, W.L., D.R. Herbst, and S.H. Sohmer, Manual of the flowering plants of Hawai`i. University of Hawaii Press and Bishop Museum Press, Honolulu. Bishop Mus. Spec. Publ. 97: 1174-1216.
Stone, C.P. 1985. Alien animals in Hawai`i's native ecosystems: toward controlling the adverse effects of introduced vertebrates: *In* Stone, C.P., and J.M. Scott (eds.), Hawai'i's terrestrial ecosystems: preservation and management. Coop. Natl. Park Resources Stud. Unit, Univ. Hawaii, Honolulu, pp. 251-297.
Tomich, P.Q. 1986. Mammals in Hawai`i; a synopsis and notational bibliography. Bishop Museum Press, Honolulu. 375 pp.
Van Riper, S.G., and C. van Riper III. 1982. A field guide to the mammals in Hawaii. The Oriental Publishing Company, Honolulu. 68 pp.
Vitousek, P.M., C.M. D'Antonio, L.L. Loope, M. Rejnanek, and R. Westerbrooks. 1997. Introduced species: a significant component of human-caused global change. New Zealand Journal of Ecology 21(1): 1-16.
Wagner, W.L., D.R. Herbst, and S.H. Sohmer. 1999a. Manual of the Flowering Plants of Hawai`i, Bishop Mus. Spec. Publ. 97:1-1918. University of Hawaii Press and Bishop Museum Press, Honolulu.
Wagner, W.L., M.M. Bruegmann, and J.Q.C. Lau. 1999b. Hawaiian vascular plants at risk: 1999. Bishop Mus. Occas. Pap. 60: 1-58.
Wagner, W.L. and D.R. Herbst. 2003. Electronic supplement to the manual of flowering plants

of Hawai‘i, version 3.1. December 12, 2003. Available from the Internet. URL: http://rathbun.si.edu/botany/pacificislandbiodiversity/hawaiianflora/supplement.htm.
Wenkam, R. 1969. Kauai and the park country of Hawaii. Sierra Club, San Francisco. 160 pp.
Wood, K.R. and S. Perlman. 1997. Maui 14 plant survey final report. Submitted by National Tropical Botanical Garden, October, 1997.

APPROVAL/CONCURRENCE: Lead Regions must obtain written concurrence from all other Regions within the range of the species before recommending changes to the candidate list, including listing priority changes; the Regional Director must approve all such recommendations. The Director must concur on all 12-month petition findings, additions of species to the candidate list, removal of candidate species, and listing priority changes.

Approve: Acting _____ 11/16/05
Regional Director, Fish and Wildlife Service Date

Concur: _____ August 23, 2006
Director, Fish and Wildlife Service Date

Do not concur: _____ _____
Director, Fish and Wildlife Service Date

Date of annual review: September 20, 2005
Conducted by: Marie M. Bruegmann, Pacific Islands FWO
Plant Recovery Coordinator

Comments:
PIFWO Review

Reviewed by: Christa Russell Date: September 27, 2005
Plant Conservation Program Leader

Gina Shultz Date: October 14, 2005
Assistant Field Supervisor,
Endangered Species

Patrick Leonard Date: October 14, 2005
Field Supervisor